1	James E. Doroshow (SBN 112920) jdoroshow@foxrothschild.com	
2	Phillip F. Shinn (State Bar No. 112051) pshinn@foxrothschild.com	
3	Alan Chen (SBN 224420) achen@foxrothschild.com	
4	FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP 1800 Century Park East, Suite 300	
5	Los Angeles, California 90067-3005 Tel 310.598.4150 / Fax 310.556.9828	
6	Attorneys for Defendant	
7	RENAISSANCE RECOVERY SERVICES, LLC,, NNB RECOVERY	
8	SERVICES, LLC, and SALVATORE PETRUCCI	
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	CENTRAL DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA
12	GRASSHOPPER HOUSE, LLC, d/b/a PASSAGES MALIBU, a California	Case No.: CV 10-3198 DMG (JCx)
13	limited liability company, d/b/a Passages Malibu, PASSAGES SILVER STRAND,	DEFENDANT'S STATEMENT OF
14	LLC, a California limited liability company,	PLAINTIFFS' NONCOMPLIANCE WITH RULE 26 OBLIGATIONS
15	Plaintiffs,	
16	VS.	Scheduling Conference
17	RENAISSANCE RECOVERY SERVICES, LLC, a California limited	Date: September 18, 2010
18	liability company; NNB RECOVERY	Time: 11:00 a.m.
19	SERVICES, LLC, a California limited liability company; and SALVATORE PETRUCCI, an individual,	
20		
21	Defendants.	
22		
23	To date, despite repeated requests by	defense counsel, Plaintiff Grasshopper
$\begin{bmatrix} 23 \\ 24 \end{bmatrix}$	House LLC ("Grasshopper") and its counsel have failed to comply with their	
25	obligations under Fed.R.Civ.P. Rule 26 to either: (i) schedule an in person meeting	
26	with defense counsel to conduct the initial meeting of counsel; or (ii) to prepare a	
27	Rule 26 report to submit to the Court in advance of the scheduling conference.	
28	Instead, Grasshopper's counsel, having previously ignored emails from defense DEFENDANT'S STATEMENT OF PLAINTIFFS' NONCOMPLIANCE WITH RULE 26 OBLIGATIONS	

LA1 56914v1 10/04/10

counsel requesting a Rule 26(f) conference by the Court ordered deadline, demanded that counsel meet on the last day for the conference when defense counsel was unavailable for an in person meeting. Moreover, even though the last day for filing the Rule 26 report is today, Grasshopper's counsel has ignored repeated requests by defense counsel for a draft report to review and submit to the Court. Because of these circumstances, Defendant and its counsel are unable to submit a joint Rule 26 report with the Court today when it is due; and therefore hereby seek the assistance of the Court in ordering Grasshopper and its counsel to comply with their Rule 26 obligations. DATED: October 4, 2010 Respectfully submitted, FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP By: /s/ James E. Doroshow James E. Doroshow Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant Accelerated Recovery Centers, LLC